**Operative Performance Rating System (OPRS)**

**SMALL BOWEL RESECTION – COLECTOMY**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator:** |  | **Resident:** |  |
| **Resident Level:**  |  | **Program:**  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date of Procedure:** |  | **Time Procedure Was Completed:** |  |
| **Date Assessment Was Completed:** |  | **Time Assessment Was Initiated:** |  |

 |

Please rate this resident's performance during this operative procedure. For most criteria, the caption above each checkbox provides descriptive anchors for 3 of the 5 points on the rating scale. **"NA" (not applicable) should only be selected when the resident did not perform that part of the procedure.**

**Case Difficulty**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Straightforward anatomy, no related prior surgeries or treatment |  | Intermediate difficulty |  | Abnormal anatomy, extensive pathology, related prior surgeries or treatment (for example radiation), or obesity |
|[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**Degree of Prompting or Direction**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 |
| Unable to direct team, use/choose instruments, or anticipate next steps as surgeon or as first assistant without constant attending prompting |  | Actively assists and anticipates own and attending’s needs, performs basic steps with occasional attending direction to resident and/or surgical team. Somewhat hesitant and slow to anticipate or recognize aberrant anatomy, unexpected findings, and/or “slowing down” moments |  | Performs all steps and directs team with minimal direction from attending to either resident or team, i.e., anticipates needs, sets up exposure for self and assistant, transitions fluently between steps, gives clear direction to first assistant, maintains situation awareness, calmly recovers from error and recognizes when to seek help/advice |
|[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**Procedure-Specific Criteria**

Please assess performance and indicate the degree of prompting for **each item.** The assessment score for each item **may differ** from the prompting score for that item.

**Abdominal Exploration**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Performed disorganized and incomplete abdominal exploration  |  | Performed complete abdominal exploration but somewhat disorganized |  | Performed complete, efficient and systematic abdominal exploration |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 | NA |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Suture Placement (hand sewn anastomosis)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Poor spacing and depth of anastomotic sutures |  | Occasional lapses in good spacing and depth of anastomotic sutures |  | Excellent spacing of sutures (2-5mm) and consistent bites into submucosa |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 | NA |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Use of Stapling Devices (stapled anastomosis)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Poor knowledge, inefficient use of device |  | Understanding of stapling devices, less than efficient use |  | Excellent understanding and appropriate, efficient use of stapling devices |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 | NA |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Extent of Resection**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Poorly understood resection margins and extent of nodal tissue excision  |  | Fair understanding of margins and extent of nodal resection |  | Excellent understanding of resection margins and extent of lymph node excision |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 | NA |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Prevention of Contamination**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Poor utilization of measures to prevent peritoneal contamination  |  | Aware of measures, but utilized somewhat inefficiently |  | Excellent understanding and utilization of measures to prevent intraperitoneal contamination |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Substantial Direction1 | 2 | Some Direction3 | 4 | Minimal Direction5 | NA |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**General Criteria**

**Instrument Handling**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Tentative or awkward movements, *often* did not visualize tips of instrument or clips poorly placed  |  | Competent use of instruments, *occasionally* appeared awkward or did not visualize instrument tips |  | Fluid movements with instruments *consistently* using appropriate force, keeping tips in view, and placing clips securely |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Respect for Tissue**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| *Frequent* unnecessary tissue force or damage by inappropriate instrument use |  | Careful tissue handling, *occasional* inadvertent damage |  | *Consistently* handled tissue carefully (appropriately), minimal tissue damage |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Time and Motion**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Many unnecessary moves  |  | Efficient time and motion, some unnecessary moves |  | Clear economy of motion, and maximum efficiency |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Operation Flow**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Very Good 4 | Excellent 5 | NA |
| Frequent lack of forward progression; frequently stopped operating and seemed unsure of next move |  | Some forward planning, reasonable procedure progression |  | Obviously planned course of operation and anticipation of next steps |  |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Overall Performance (not included in calculation of mean score)**

Rating of very good or higher indicates technically proficient performance (i.e., resident is ready to perform operation independently, assuming resident consistently performs at this level)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Poor | Fair | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
| [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**Please indicate the weaknesses in this resident’s performance:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Please indicate the strengths in this resident’s performance:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |